

1 BILAL A. ESSAYLI
United States Attorney
2 CHRISTINA T. SHAY
Assistant United States Attorney
3 Chief, Criminal Division
KEVIN J. BUTLER (Cal. Bar No. 329129)
4 JENA A. MACCABE (Cal. Bar No. 316637)
Assistant United States Attorneys
5 Violent and Organized Crime Section
1300 United States Courthouse
6 312 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, California 90012
7 Telephone: (213) 894-6495/5046
Facsimile: (213) 894-0141
8 E-mail: kevin.butler2@usdoj.gov
jena.maccabe@usdoj.gov
9

Attorneys for Plaintiff
10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

12 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 PABLO RAUL LUGO LARROIG,
aka "Walter Loza," and
17 JESON NELON PRESILLA FLORES,

18 Defendants.
19

No. 5:25-cr-00198-KK-3, 6

ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL DATE AND
FINDINGS REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME
PERIODS PURSUANT TO SPEEDY TRIAL
ACT

[PROPOSED] TRIAL DATE: 02-23-26

**[PROPOSED] PRETRIAL CONFERENCE AND
MOTION HEARING DATE: 02-05-26**

20 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding
21 Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of
22 Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the
23 parties in this matter on July 21, 2025. The Court hereby finds that
24 the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this
25 Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the trial
26 date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of
27 excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.
28

1 The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by
2 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and
3 defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance
4 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,
5 or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the
6 continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel
7 and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for
8 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due
9 diligence.

10 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

11 1. The trial in this matter is continued from August 11, 2025,
12 to February 23, 2026, at 8:30 a.m. The pretrial conference and
13 motion hearing is continued to February 5, 2026, at 1:30 p.m.

14 2. The time period of August 11, 2025, to February 23, 2026,
15 inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial
16 must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i),
17 and (B)(iv).

18 3. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other
19 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time
20 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must
21 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of
22 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of

23 //

24 //

1 additional time periods from the period within which trial must
2 commence.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.



4
5 July 22, 2025
6 DATE

HONORABLE KENLY KIYA KATO
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

7
8
9 Presented by:

10 /s/
11 KEVIN J. BUTLER
12 JENA A. MACCABE
Assistant United States Attorneys